17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	James R. Condo (#005867)
_	Amanda C. Sheridan (#027360)
2	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. One Arizona Center
3	400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900
4	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2204 Telephone: (602) 382-6000
	jcondo@swlaw.com
5	asheridan@swlaw.com
6	Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
7	Georgia Bar No. 545599  Matthew B. Lerner (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
	Georgia Bar No. 446986
8	NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP Atlantic Station
9	201 17th Street, NW, Suite 1700
10	Atlanta, GA 30363 Telephone: (404) 322-6000
	richard.north@nelsonmullins.com
11	matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com
12	Attorneys for Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and
13	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.
14	IN THE UNITED STATES
15	FOR THE DISTRICT
16	IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability

## S DISTRICT COURT T OF ARIZONA

IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation	No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC
Litigation	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL CERTAIN EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF BARD'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE OPINIONS OF MARK I FISENBERG M.D.

AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW **IN SUPPORT** 

Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively "Bard") hereby respectfully move this Court, pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order (Doc. 269), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), and Local Civil Rule 5.6 for leave to file under seal certain exhibits attached in support of Bard's Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Mark J. Eisenberg, M.D. and Memorandum of Law in Support. These exhibits, contain certain trade secrets and confidential information that are protected under

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Stipulated Protective Order, warranting protection from public disclosure. Accordingly, there is good cause to grant Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Certain Exhibits in Support of Bard's Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Mark J. Eisenberg, M.D. and Memorandum of Law in Support. Defendants have notified Plaintiffs of their intent to file this Motion. Plaintiffs have agreed to the filing of such motions in the past; however, to date, Plaintiffs have not yet responded to Defendants' attempts to meet and confer on whether Plaintiffs oppose the Motion once Plaintiffs have had an opportunity to review the documents in issue. A list of the exhibits sought to be sealed are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

### ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

"When a court grants a protective order for information produced during discovery, it already has determined that 'good cause' exists to protect this information from being disclosed to the public by balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality." Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002). See also, Medicis Pharm. Corp. v. Acella Pharm., LLC, CV 10-1780-PHX-JAT, 2012 WL 2260928 at \*2 (D. Ariz. June 15, 2012) (sealing exhibits related to "Medicis' marketing strategy, Acella's product formulation,...various emails and deposition transcripts, viscosity test data, sales and marketing information, and various other documents" because "[m]uch of this information has been previously sealed by the Court, has been designated as confidential by the parties pursuant to the protective order in this case, or could otherwise potentially harm the parties if released publicly because of its confidential and sensitive nature.").

Certain exhibits to Bard's Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Mark J. Eisenberg, M.D., specifically Exhibit A (Dr. Eisenberg's 2/10/17 Rule 26 Report) and Exhibit C (Excerpts from Dr. Eisenberg's 8/17/16 Austin Deposition Transcript), contain pieces of highly competitive, confidential, proprietary information that warrant protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G) because the documents are not made public by Bard and, if obtained by Bard's competitors, could give an unfair economic advantage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14 (404) 327-6000

to those competitors. Blanchard & Co., Inc. v. Barrick Gold Corp., No. 02-3721, 2004
WL 737485, at *5 (E.D. La. Apr. 5, 2004) (citing Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg, 23
F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994)). Except for a small number of publicly available documents,
all of the Bard documents cited in the Report and Deposition Transcript were produced to
Plaintiffs as "Confidential - Subject to Protective Order" on each page pursuant to
Stipulated Protective Order (Doc. 269) ¶ 6.

The public disclosure of these exhibits would reveal confidential, proprietary and trade secret information and would create a heightened risk of irreparable harm to Bard's competitive business concerns. Further, its inclusion in the public record would not only harm Bard because of the trade secrets and confidential information it contains, but it would also eviscerate the significant time and resources Bard has expended in protecting its business information. The potential for abuse and for competitive loss are real. Accordingly, Defendants request that the exhibits identified in Exhibit A be sealed.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of August, 2017.

By: s/ Richard B. North, Jr. James R. Condo Amanda C. Sheridan One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

> Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Georgia Bar No. 545599 Matthew B. Lerner (admitted *pro hac vice*) Georgia Bar No. 446986 Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP 201 17th Street, NW / Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30363

Attorneys for C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.

# Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 24, 2017, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send e-mail notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

s/ Richard B. North, Jr.

## Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

### **EXHIBIT A**

### **DOCUMENTS PROPOSED TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL**

Defendants request they be permitted to file under seal the following documents in support of their Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Mark J. Eisenberg, M.D. and Memorandum of Law in Support.

Exhibit A. Dr. Eisenberg's 2/10/17 Rule 26 Report.

Dr. Eisenberg's 8/17/16 Austin Deposition Transcript. Exhibit C.